Title: Separating adaptability from disorderliness as randomness notions

Dr Liling King

Abstract:

In computability theory, we often formalize and compare notions of randomness of sets \$H\subseteq\mathb{N}\$. We separated two such notions and describe our combinatorial argument as an infinite variant of the Monty Hall game: There are infinitely many doors arranged in a line, and game show host \$H\$ hides a goat or car behind each one. Infinitely many doors hide cars. \$H\$ plays against countably many gamblers. After \$H\$ hides its cars, a gambler may select infinitely many doors to open, and wins if the proportion of cars in their selection is non-zero in the limit. As each gambler behaves like a computer program, the more ``randomly'' \$H\$ hides its cars, the more likely it can beat a gambler. We show that a host that beats gamblers who choose doors out of order may not necessarily beat gamblers who select doors adaptively, and vice-versa. This separates adaptability from disorderliness as randomness notions. This is joint work with Justin Miller from Dartmouth College.