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## 1. Introduction: Purpose of the STSM \& Working Procedure

The purpose of the STSM was to analyze survey data from stellar colleagues, collected as part of COST Action 15221, Advancing effective institutional models towards cohesive teaching, learning, research and writing development." The STSM contributed to the fulfillment of two research objectives of MoU :

1. "Co-ordinate the collation and cross tabulation of data gathered from key informants in order to identify the common ground that exists in terms of positive development and performance through purposes, processes, knowledge/scholarship, skills development and values, across the four areas of teaching, learning, research and writing"
2. "Consider and propose, mindful of the insights provided from the analysis of data and taking into account existing models, what alternative centrally provided models and practices might best support the effective, successful and productive development of learning, teaching, research and writing."
The statistical analysis performed on the data answered the following questions:

- RQ1. What is common across the data - what are the common and most predominant characteristics and behaviours of stellar colleagues?
- RQ2: What is the support they find most useful?
- RQ3: What are the overlaps between supports?
- RQ4: What model of centralised support would be best for stellar colleagues?

The STSM work was carried out at the University of Warsaw, Poland, with close collaboration of Prof. Maria Zaleska, full member of the COST Action. Work involved statistical analysis of survey data from 263 participants, who answered a self-report questionnaire designed for the purpose of the action. The questionnaire included 6 Sections and 36 questions in total. Open ended questions were excluded from the analysis. The first stage of data analysis involved cleaning up the data, identifying missing data (and recording them as such) and recoding of items (when required). The SPSS data set was compared to the Excel File to ensure correct data entry (or recoding) as required.

Descriptive analyses were performed on each question, and detailed report was provided on frequencies (percentages), means and standard deviations. Results obtained from this type of analysis provided a general overview of the participants characteristics and behaviors, as well as the possibility to compare answers from different sections, e.g., perceptions of the strongest barriers, the most useful forms of support for each area, the strongest types of motivation etc.

Correlation analyses were also performed in order to identify trends within the data. This type of analysis identified relationships between the self-perceptions of success in Teaching, Learning, Research and Writing (Item: I identify myself as a successful teacher/learner/ researcher/ writer) and Motivations, Barriers, Types of Support, Personality traits etc. Correlations were particularly examined in terms of trends or commonalities between the four areas.

Preliminary results were discussed with Prof. Zaleska particularly in terms of how they relate to the purpose of the Action and the specific research questions. The discussion focused on the ways results might be interpreted and the implications they might have in suggesting models of support within Institutions.

## 2. Statistical Analyses and Results

### 2.1.Sample Characteristics

Tables from 1-6 show sample composition characteristics in terms of gender distribution, academic qualifications, years of employment, career stage, mobility across institutions, institution characteristics (public/private).

Table 1: Gender Distribution

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Male | 114 | 43.3 | 45.2 | 45.2 |  |
|  | Female | 137 | 52.1 | 54.4 | 99.6 |
|  | Rather not | 1 | .4 | .4 | 100.0 |
|  | disclose |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 252 | 95.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 11 | 4.2 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |  |

Table 2: Academic Qualifications

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bachelor degree | 3 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Masters | 12 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 6.0 |
| $\mathrm{PhD} /$ Doctoral level Valid education | 163 | 62.0 | 64.9 | 70.9 |
| Habilitation | 67 | 25.5 | 26.7 | 97.6 |
| Other | 6 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 251 | 95.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 12 | 4.6 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 3: Career stage

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early career researcher (pre-doctorate, 1-7 years postdoctorate and/or 1-7 years higher education experience) | 39 | 14.8 | 15.5 | 15.5 |
| Valid Consolidator (7-12 <br> Valid <br> years higher education <br> experience and/or 7-12 <br> years postdoctorate) | 42 | 16.0 | 16.7 | 32.1 |
| Expert (12+ higher education experience) | 171 | 65.0 | 67.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 252 | 95.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 11 | 4.2 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 4: Public/ Private Institution

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Public - largely <br> publicly funded <br> Valid <br> Private - largely <br> privately funded | 221 | 84.0 | 88.8 | 88.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 28 | 10.6 | 11.2 | 100.0 |
| Missing System |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 249 | 94.7 | 100.0 |  |

Table 5: Length of time at current institution

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 1-3 years | 23 | 8.7 | 9.1 | 9.1 |
|  | 4-7 years | 31 | 11.8 | 12.3 | 21.3 |
|  | 8-12 years | 56 | 21.3 | 22.1 | 43.5 |
|  | $13-17$ <br> years | 32 | 12.2 | 12.6 | 56.1 |
|  | 18+ years | 111 | 42.2 | 43.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 253 | 96.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 10 | 3.8 |  |  |
| Total |  | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 6: Work in other higher education institutions

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yalid | Yo | 143 | 54.4 | 56.7 | 56.7 |
|  | 109 | 41.4 | 43.3 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 252 | 95.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 11 | 4.2 |  |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |  |

### 2.2. Perceptions of success as teacher, writer, learner, researcher

Tables 7-11 indicate the distribution of answers as regards the extent to which self-perceptions of being a successful writer, teacher, learner or researcher or manager. As could be noted in the respective tables (Valid Percentage column, figures in red) the area of Learning has the greatest number of participants rating themselves 4-5 ( $88 \%$ of participants), while the lowest percentage of 4-5 answers, is noted in Management, $62.9 \%$.

Table 12 indicates correlation analyses between self-perceptions as a successful teacher, writer, learner, researcher and manager. As could be noted in the table, all areas are related to each other. However the strongest correlations were revealed between the roles of writer and researcher (Pearson $\mathrm{r}=.67, \mathrm{p}<.01$ ), teacher and learner (Pearson $\mathrm{r}=.46, \mathrm{p}<.01$ ).

Table 7: I identify myself as successful writer

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Strongly disagree | 4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
|  | 2 | 13 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 6.7 |
|  | 3 | 61 | 23.2 | 24.2 | 31.0 |
|  | 4 | 122 | 46.4 | 48.4 | 79.4 |
|  | Strongly agree | 52 | 19.8 | 20.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 252 | 95.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 11 | 4.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 8: I identify myself as a successful researcher

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly disagree | 3 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 2 | 15 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 7.1 |
| Valid 3 | 54 | 20.5 | 21.4 | 28.6 |
| Vand | 118 | 44.9 | 46.8 | 75.4 |
| Strongly agree | 62 | 23.6 | 24.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 252 | 95.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 11 | 4.2 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 9: I identify myself as a successful teacher

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Strongly disagree | 3 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
|  | 3 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 2.4 |
|  | 28 | 10.6 | 11.1 | 13.5 |
|  |  | 106 | 40.3 | 42.1 |

Table 10: I identify myself as a successful learner

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly disagree | 1 | . 4 | . 4 | . 4 |
| 2 | 3 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.6 |
| 3 | 25 | 9.5 | 9.9 | 11.5 |
| Valid 4 | 118 | 44.9 | 46.8 | 58.3 |
| Strongly agree | 105 | 39.9 | 41.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 252 | 95.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 11 | 4.2 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 11: I identify myself as a successful manager


Table 12: Correlations between perceptions of success across the 5 areas

|  |  | I identify myself as successful writer | I identify myself as a successful researcher | I identify myself as a successful teacher | I identify myself as a successful learner | I identify myself as a successful manager |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I identify myself as successful writer | Pearson | 1 | . $665^{* *}$ | . $335^{* *}$ | .423** | . $272 * *$ |
|  | Correlation |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) |  | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 |
|  | N | 252 | 252 | 251 | 251 | 250 |
| I identify myself as | Pearson | . $665{ }^{* *}$ | 1 | . 300 ** | . $412 * *$ | .152* |
| a successful | Correlation |  |  |  |  |  |
| researcher | Sig. (2-tailed) | . 000 |  | . 000 | . 000 | . 016 |


|  | N | 252 | 252 | 251 | 251 | 250 |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Pearson | $.335^{* *}$ | $.300^{* *}$ | 1 | $.455^{* *}$ | $.312^{* *}$ |  |
| I identify myself as | Correlation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a successful teacher | Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | .000 |  | .000 | .000 |  |
|  | N | 251 | 251 | 252 | 251 | 251 |  |
|  | Pearson | $.423^{* *}$ | $.412^{* *}$ | $.455^{* *}$ | 1 | $.365^{* *}$ |  |
| I identify myself as | Correlation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a successful learner | Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | .000 | .000 |  | .000 |  |
|  | N | 251 | 251 | 251 | 252 | 250 |  |
| I identify myself as | Pearson | Correlation | $.272^{* *}$ | $.152^{*}$ | $.312^{* *}$ | $.365^{* *}$ | 1 |
| a successful |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| manager | Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | .016 | .000 | .000 |  |  |
|  | N | 250 | 250 | 251 | 250 | 251 |  |

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

### 2.3. Support for teaching, learning, research, writing

As regards reporting on existing support, Tables 13-16 indicate answers of participants across all areas, writing, research, teaching/learning and professional development. As can be noted, the presence of support is poorest for Writing: 23\%; more extended for Research $38 \%$; while Teaching \& learning support at the extent of $31.6 \%$ and professional development $35 \%$. To be noted is the percentage of individuals answering "Difficult to say", which varies from $1 / 5$ to $1 / 3$ of the sample reporting so across the different areas. Cross-tabulation between Supports across different areas indicated that institutions providing one type of support e.g., Writing support were more likely to provide other types of support too, e.g., Research support, Teaching and learning etc. (Chi Square value was significant at $\mathrm{p}<.001$.) The detailed cross-tabulations are indicated in Tables 17-22.

Table 13. Writing/publishing support for staff


Table 14. Teaching and learning support

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 80 | 30.4 | 31.6 | 31.6 |
| No | 116 | 44.1 | 45.8 | 77.5 |
| Valid | Difficult to | 57 | 21.7 | 22.5 |

Table 15. Research support for staff?

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 96 | 36.5 | 38.1 | 38.1 |
| No | 91 | 34.6 | 36.1 | 74.2 |
| Valid Difficult to say | 65 | 24.7 | 25.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 252 | 95.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 11 | 4.2 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 16. Professional development and/or staff training and development?


Table 17. Cross-tabulations: Overlap between Writing/publishing support for staff? * Teaching and learning support

|  |  | Teaching and learning support (for example through a teaching and learning centre which aims primarily to support staff as teachers)? |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes | No | Difficult to say |  |
| Writing/publishing support for staff? | Yes | 42 | 6 | 10 | 58 |
|  | No | 19 | 91 | 23 | 133 |
|  | Difficult to | 18 | 19 | 24 | 61 |
|  | say |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 79 | 116 | 57 | 252 |

Table 18. Cross-tabulations: Writing/publishing support for staff? * Research support for staff?

|  |  | Research support for staff? |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Yes | No | Difficult to say |  |  |
|  | Yes | 44 | 5 | 9 | 58 |
| Writing/publishing | No | 29 | 78 | 26 | 133 |
| support for staff? | Difficult to | 22 | 8 | 30 | 60 |
|  | say |  | 95 | 91 |  |
| Total |  |  | 65 | 251 |  |

Table 19. Cross-tabulation: Writing/publishing support for staff? * Professional development and/or staff training
Count

|  |  | Professional development and/or staff training and development? |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes | No | $\begin{gathered} \text { Difficult to } \\ \text { say } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Yes | 39 | 5 | 14 | 58 |
| Writing/publishing | No | 26 | 71 | 36 | 133 |
| support for staff? | Difficult to | 23 | 13 | 25 | 61 |
|  | say |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 88 | 89 | 75 | 252 |

Table 20. Cross-tabulation: Research support for staff? * Teaching and learning support

|  |  | Teaching and learning support |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Yes |  | No |  |

Table 21. Crosstabulation: Research support*Professional development

|  |  | Professional development and/or staff training and development? |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes | No | Difficult to say |  |
|  | Yes | 57 | 19 | 20 | 96 |
| Research support for | No | 13 | 58 | 20 | 91 |
| staff? | Difficult to say | 18 | 12 | 35 | 65 |
| Total |  | 88 | 89 | 75 | 252 |

Table 22: Cross tabulation: Teaching and learning support * Professional development and/or staff training and development?


Independent samples t -tests were conducted to assess whether there was any difference in selfperceptions of success across the four areas between the two categories: 1. those reporting the existence of support and 2. Those reporting no support (YES_NO Centralized support). Results showed no significant differences in self perception of success between those receiving centralized writing/teaching/research support and those not receiving support ( p value $>.05$ ).

Tables 23-25 indicate group statistics; as observed the values for means in each case are highly similar between YES-NO sub-groups.

Table 23: Group Statistics-Writing Support

|  | Writing/publishing <br> support for staff? | N | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Std. Error <br> Mean |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| I identify myself as | Yes | 57 | 3.9123 | .78560 | .10406 |
| successful writer | No | 133 | 3.7368 | .90365 | .07836 |
| I identify myself as a | Yes | 57 | 3.8596 | .85437 | .11316 |
| successful researcher | No | 133 | 3.8797 | .88795 | .07699 |
| I identify myself as a | Yes | 58 | 4.3793 | .61637 | .08093 |
| successful teacher | No | 132 | 4.1591 | .92323 | .08036 |

Table 24: Group Statistics: Teaching and learning support

|  | Teaching and learning <br> support | N | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Std. Error <br> Mean |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| I identify myself as | Yes | 79 | 3.8861 | .89137 | .10029 |
| successful writer | No | 116 | 3.7931 | .85990 | .07984 |
| I identify myself as a | Yes | 79 | 3.8861 | .93353 | .10503 |
| successful researcher | No | 116 | 3.9397 | .83706 | .07772 |
| I identify myself as a | Yes | 80 | 4.3875 | .73766 | .08247 |
| successful teacher | No | 115 | 4.2087 | .83241 | .07762 |

Table 25: Group Statistics: Research support

|  | Research support for <br> staff? | N | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Std. Error <br> Mean |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| I identify myself as | Yes | 95 | 3.8842 | .87352 | .08962 |
| successful writer | No | 91 | 3.7253 | .87007 | .09121 |
| I identify myself as a | Yes | 95 | 3.9053 | .87609 | .08988 |
| successful researcher | No | 91 | 3.8791 | .92898 | .09738 |
| I identify myself as a | Yes | 96 | 4.3125 | .74428 | .07596 |
| successful teacher | No | 90 | 4.2444 | .75418 | .07950 |

### 2.4. Barriers to writing

As regards barriers to writing, the strongest barrier reported was "Other workload", (Mean=3.49) reported on the highest end (scores $4-5$ ) by $55 \%$ of the sample and "Lack of time" (Mean=3.44) reported by $53 \%$ of the sample. The weakest barrier was Dislike of Writing ( $8.9 \%$ of the sample reported 4-5 on this item. Tables 26- provide detailed frequencies and percentages for each barrier. Nonetheless correlation analyses conducted afterwards between specific barriers and selfidentification as a successful writer revealed a different picture. Results showed no significant correlations with 'Other workload' or 'Lack of time' but two significant negative relationships with 'Lack of confidence about my writing' ( $\mathrm{r}=-.36, \mathrm{p}<.01$ ) and 'Dislike of writing' ( $\mathrm{p}=-.32$, $\mathrm{p}<.01$ ).

Table 26. Barriers: Other workload e.g. teaching, admin

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| quite manageable challenges | 19 | 7.2 | 7.6 | 7.6 |
| 2 | 46 | 17.5 | 18.3 | 25.9 |
| Valid 3 | 47 | 17.9 | 18.7 | 44.6 |
| 4 | 71 | 27.0 | 28.3 | 72.9 |
| significant barriers | 68 | 25.9 | 27.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 251 | 95.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 12 | 4.6 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 27. Barriers: Dislike of writing

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| quite manageable challenges | 136 | 51.7 | 55.1 | 55.1 |
| 2 | 50 | 19.0 | 20.2 | 75.3 |
| Valid 3 | 39 | 14.8 | 15.8 | 91.1 |
| 4 | 12 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 96.0 |
| significant barriers | 10 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 247 | 93.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 16 | 6.1 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 28. Barriers: Lack of reward for my writing from my institution

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| quite manageable challenges | 81 | 30.8 | 32.3 | 32.3 |
| 2 | 47 | 17.9 | 18.7 | 51.0 |
| Valid 3 | 53 | 20.2 | 21.1 | 72.1 |
| 4 | 37 | 14.1 | 14.7 | 86.9 |
| significant barriers | 33 | 12.5 | 13.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 251 | 95.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 12 | 4.6 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 29. Barriers: Lack of time generally

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| quite manageable challenges | 19 | 7.2 | 7.6 | 7.6 |
| 2 | 37 | 14.1 | 14.8 | 22.4 |
| Valid 3 | 61 | 23.2 | 24.4 | 46.8 |
| 4 | 81 | 30.8 | 32.4 | 79.2 |
| significant barriers | 52 | 19.8 | 20.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 250 | 95.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 13 | 4.9 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 30. Barriers: Inadequate language skills

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| quite manageable challenges | 125 | 47.5 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| 2 | 50 | 19.0 | 20.0 | 70.0 |
| Valid 3 | 47 | 17.9 | 18.8 | 88.8 |
| 4 | 23 | 8.7 | 9.2 | 98.0 |
| significant barriers | 5 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 250 | 95.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 13 | 4.9 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 31. Barriers: Lack of confidence about my writing

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| quite manageable challenges | 123 | 46.8 | 49.6 | 49.6 |
| 2 | 70 | 26.6 | 28.2 | 77.8 |
| Valid 3 | 42 | 16.0 | 16.9 | 94.8 |
| 4 | 10 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 98.8 |
| significant barriers | 3 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 248 | 94.3 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 15 | 5.7 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 32. Barriers: Lack of recognition of my writing by my institution

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| quite manageable challenges | 109 | 41.4 | 43.8 | 43.8 |
| 2 | 58 | 22.1 | 23.3 | 67.1 |
| Valid 3 | 47 | 17.9 | 18.9 | 85.9 |
| 4 | 27 | 10.3 | 10.8 | 96.8 |
| significant barriers | 8 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 249 | 94.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 14 | 5.3 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 33. Barriers: Personal/professional disagreement with 'publish or perish' mentality

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| quite manageable challenges | 81 | 30.8 | 32.5 | 32.5 |
| 2 | 62 | 23.6 | 24.9 | 57.4 |
| Valid 3 | 79 | 30.0 | 31.7 | 89.2 |
| 4 | 16 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 95.6 |
| significant barriers | 11 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 249 | 94.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 14 | 5.3 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 34. Barriers: Lack of institutional support

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| quite manageable challenges | 67 | 25.5 | 26.9 | 26.9 |
| 2 | 51 | 19.4 | 20.5 | 47.4 |
| Valid 3 | 49 | 18.6 | 19.7 | 67.1 |
| 4 | 44 | 16.7 | 17.7 | 84.7 |
| significant barriers | 38 | 14.4 | 15.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 249 | 94.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 14 | 5.3 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 35. Barriers: Lack of formal writing training


Table 36 Barriers: Lack of a network of writers

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| quite manageable challenges | 79 | 30.0 | 31.6 | 31.6 |
| 2 | 57 | 21.7 | 22.8 | 54.4 |
| Valid 3 | 63 | 24.0 | 25.2 | 79.6 |
| 4 | 30 | 11.4 | 12.0 | 91.6 |
| significant barriers | 21 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 250 | 95.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 13 | 4.9 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 37. Summary: Means and standard deviations for barriers to Writing

|  | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Other workload e.g. teaching | 251 | 3.49 | 1.272 | .080 |
| Lack of time generally | 250 | 3.44 | 1.192 | .075 |
| Inadequate language skills | 250 | 1.93 | 1.112 | .070 |
| Lack of confidence about my | 248 | 1.79 | .946 | .060 |
| writing  <br> Lack of recognition of my writing 249 | 2.06 | 1.162 | .074 |  |
| by my institution |  |  |  |  |


| Lack of reward for my writing | 251 | 2.58 | 1.407 | .089 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| from my institution |  |  |  |  |
| Personal/ professional <br> disagreement with 'publish or | 249 | 2.25 | 1.113 | .071 |
| perish' mentality |  |  |  |  |
| Dislike of writing | 247 | 1.83 | 1.114 | .071 |
| Lack of institutional support | 249 | 2.74 | 1.417 | .090 |
| Lack of formal writing training | 248 | 2.39 | 1.336 | .085 |
| Lack of a network of writers | 250 | 2.43 | 1.276 | .081 |

### 2.5.Motivation on Writing

As regards Motivation on Writing, Tables 38-47 indicate the distribution of answers on the various types of motivation. The high impact end (scores 4-5) has been highlighted in red on each table. Passion for the discipline holds the highest scores (Mean=4.02), as $74 \%$ rated this motivator $4 / 5$. The other 3 motivators have very similar mean scores and percentages a. 'The wish to advance my career' (Mean=3.78 and $62 \%$ of the sample rated 4/5) b. 'Wish to be recognized in the field (Mean=3.72) 63\%) and c. 'Desire to share your work' (Mean=3.76; $64 \%$ of the sample). But correlations reveal that self-identification as a successful writer most strongly relates to "The wish to be recognized in the field $\mathrm{r}=.20, \mathrm{p}<.01$. The 3 factors identified above also have significant correlations but weak ones (varying from $\mathrm{r}=.10$ to $\mathrm{r}=.14, \mathrm{p}<.05$ ).

Table 38. Motivation on writing: The support of colleagues

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hardly any impact | 41 | 15.6 | 16.3 | 16.3 |
| 2 | 49 | 18.6 | 19.5 | 35.9 |
| Valid 3 | 57 | 21.7 | 22.7 | 58.6 |
| 4 | 64 | 24.3 | 25.5 | 84.1 |
| impact hugely | 40 | 15.2 | 15.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 251 | 95.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 12 | 4.6 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 39. Motivation on writing: The opportunity to co-author

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hardly any impact | 31 | 11.8 | 12.4 | 12.4 |
| 2 | 44 | 16.7 | 17.5 | 29.9 |
| Valid 3 | 47 | 17.9 | 18.7 | 48.6 |
| 4 | 72 | 27.4 | 28.7 | 77.3 |
| impact hugely | 57 | 21.7 | 22.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 251 | 95.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 12 | 4.6 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 40. Motivation on writing: Passion for your discipline

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hardly any <br> impact |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 5.6 |
| Valid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17 | 6.5 | 6.8 | 12.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 33 | 12.5 | 13.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 41. Motivation on writing:Desire to share your work

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hardly any impact | 22 | 8.4 | 8.8 | 8.8 |
| 2 | 16 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 15.2 |
| Valid 3 | 52 | 19.8 | 20.8 | 36.0 |
| 4 | 70 | 26.6 | 28.0 | 64.0 |
| impact hugely | 90 | 34.2 | 36.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 250 | 95.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 13 | 4.9 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 42. Motivation on writing: Belief that your writing can make a difference

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hardly any impact | 16 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 6.4 |
| 2 | 22 | 8.4 | 8.8 | 15.3 |
| Valid 3 | 70 | 26.6 | 28.1 | 43.4 |
| 4 | 73 | 27.8 | 29.3 | 72.7 |
| impact hugely | 68 | 25.9 | 27.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 249 | 94.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 14 | 5.3 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 43. Motivation on writing: Desire to learn more about my work

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hardly any impact | 13 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 5.2 |
| 2 | 24 | 9.1 | 9.6 | 14.8 |
| Valid 3 | 70 | 26.6 | 28.0 | 42.8 |
| 4 | 71 | 27.0 | 28.4 | 71.2 |
| impact hugely | 72 | 27.4 | 28.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 250 | 95.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 13 | 4.9 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 44. Motivation on writing: The obligation to publish as a requirement

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hardly any impact | 24 | 9.1 | 9.6 | 9.6 |
| 2 | 35 | 13.3 | 13.9 | 23.5 |
| Valid 3 | 72 | 27.4 | 28.7 | 52.2 |
| 4 | 58 | 22.1 | 23.1 | 75.3 |
| impact hugely | 62 | 23.6 | 24.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 251 | 95.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 12 | 4.6 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 45. Motivation on writing: The wish to be recognised in the field

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hardly any impact | 17 | 6.5 | 6.8 | 6.8 |
| 2 | 26 | 9.9 | 10.4 | 17.1 |
| Valid 3 | 51 | 19.4 | 20.3 | 37.5 |
| 4 | 73 | 27.8 | 29.1 | 66.5 |
| impact hugely | 84 | 31.9 | 33.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 251 | 95.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 12 | 4.6 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 46. Motivation on writing: The wish to advance my career

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hardly any impact | 22 | 8.4 | 8.8 | 8.8 |
| 2 | 17 | 6.5 | 6.8 | 15.6 |
| Valid 3 | 55 | 20.9 | 22.0 | 37.6 |
| 4 | 57 | 21.7 | 22.8 | 60.4 |
| impact hugely | 99 | 37.6 | 39.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 250 | 95.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 13 | 4.9 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 47. Motivation on writing: Motivation for writing

|  | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| The support of colleagues | 251 | 3.05 | 1.321 | .083 |
| The opportunity to co-author | 251 | 3.32 | 1.330 | .084 |
| Passion for your discipline | 251 | 4.02 | 1.169 | .074 |
| Desire to share your work | 250 | 3.76 | 1.251 | .079 |
| Belief that your writing can make | 249 | 3.62 | 1.161 | .074 |
| a difference |  |  |  |  |
| Desire to learn more about my | 250 | 3.66 | 1.144 | .072 |
| work; writing for professional |  |  |  |  |
| understanding |  |  |  |  |


| The obligation to publish as a <br> requirement around funding <br> secured | 251 | 3.39 | 1.262 | .080 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| The wish to be recognised in the | 251 | 3.72 | 1.221 | .077 |
| field | 250 | 3.78 | 1.279 | .081 |

### 2.6.Writing Support

Tables 48-63 show answer distributions for each type of writing support and the final table (Table 63) a summary for all items. Results show that the most useful support for writing was 'Access to relevant literature' (Mean=4.3); $78 \%$ of participants checked this item as 4 or 5. The second most highly rated support was 'Structured Feedback' (Mean=3.75) also checked as 4 or 5 by $68 \%$ of the sample. 'Dedicated long blocks' and 'Editor corrections/services' were rated highly similar (Mean=3.7), and checked 4 or 5 by $60 \%$ of the sample. Correlation analyses showed that self-perceptions as a successful writer only correlated significantly with 'Access to relevant literature', $\mathrm{r}=.18, \mathrm{p}<.01$.

Table 48. Writing support: Writing workshops, courses, lectures

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid $\begin{gathered}\text { l } \\ \\ 2\end{gathered}$ | 25 | 9.5 | 10.2 | 10.2 |
|  | 27 | 10.3 | 11.0 | 21.1 |
|  | 58 | 22.1 | 23.6 | 44.7 |
|  | 67 | 25.5 | 27.2 | 72.0 |
|  | 69 | 26.2 | 28.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 246 | 93.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 17 | 6.5 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 49. Writing support: Mentoring

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18 | 6.8 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
|  | 19 | 7.2 | 7.7 | 15.0 |
|  | 62 | 23.6 | 25.1 | 40.1 |
|  | 63 | 24.0 | 25.5 | 65.6 |
|  | 85 | 32.3 | 34.4 | 100.0 |
|  | 247 | 93.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 16 | 6.1 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 50. Writing support: Writing Groups

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 35 | 13.3 | 14.5 | 14.5 |
|  | 41 | 15.6 | 17.0 | 31.5 |
|  | 74 | 28.1 | 30.7 | 62.2 |
|  | 64 | 24.3 | 26.6 | 88.8 |
|  | 27 | 10.3 | 11.2 | 100.0 |
|  | 241 | 91.6 | 100.0 |  |
|  | 22 | 8.4 |  |  |
|  | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 51. Writing support: Structured feedback

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
|  | 19 | 7.2 | 7.7 | 9.7 |
|  | 55 | 20.9 | 22.2 | 31.9 |
|  | 82 | 31.2 | 33.1 | 64.9 |
|  | 87 | 33.1 | 35.1 | 100.0 |
|  | 248 | 94.3 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 15 | 5.7 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 52. Writing support: Reading Circles

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid $\begin{array}{cc} & \\ 4\end{array}$ | 35 | 13.3 | 14.5 | 14.5 |
|  | 51 | 19.4 | 21.1 | 35.5 |
|  | 86 | 32.7 | 35.5 | 71.1 |
|  | 40 | 15.2 | 16.5 | 87.6 |
|  | 30 | 11.4 | 12.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 242 | 92.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 21 | 8.0 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 53. Writing support: Dedicated short blocks

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20 | 7.6 | 8.1 | 8.1 |
|  | 30 | 11.4 | 12.1 | 20.2 |
|  | 85 | 32.3 | 34.3 | 54.4 |
|  | 62 | 23.6 | 25.0 | 79.4 |
|  | 51 | 19.4 | 20.6 | 100.0 |
|  | 248 | 94.3 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 15 | 5.7 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 54. Writing support: Dedicated long blocks

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 17 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 6.9 |
|  | 22 | 8.4 | 8.9 | 15.8 |
|  | 60 | 22.8 | 24.3 | 40.1 |
|  | 59 | 22.4 | 23.9 | 64.0 |
|  | 89 | 33.8 | 36.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 247 | 93.9 | 100.0 |  |
|  | 16 | 6.1 |  |  |
|  | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 55. Writing support: Access to relevant literature

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | Cumulative Percent 1.

Table 56. Writing support: Editor corrections/services


Table 57. Writing support: English language support

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| least useful | 30 | 11.4 | 12.1 | 12.1 |
|  | 21 | 8.0 | 8.5 | 20.6 |
|  | 3 | 52 | 19.8 | 21.0 |

Table 58. Writing support: Training in supervising others

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 19 | 7.2 | 7.7 | 7.7 |
|  | 36 | 13.7 | 14.6 | 22.3 |
|  | 65 | 24.7 | 26.3 | 48.6 |
|  | 76 | 28.9 | 30.8 | 79.4 |
|  | 51 | 19.4 | 20.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 247 | 93.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 16 | 6.1 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 59. Writing support: Training in working as part of an editorial board

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| least useful | 23 | 8.7 | 9.3 | 9.3 |
|  | 31 | 11.8 | 12.6 | 21.9 |
|  | 3 | 68 | 25.9 | 27.5 |

Table 60. Writing support: Tailored support in writing for mainstream

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| least useful | 45 | 17.1 | 18.6 | 18.6 |
| 2 | 49 | 18.6 | 20.2 | 38.8 |
| 3 | 75 | 28.5 | 31.0 | 69.8 |
| 4 | 47 | 17.9 | 19.4 | 89.3 |
| most useful | 26 | 9.9 | 10.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 242 | 92.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 21 | 8.0 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 61. Writing support: Social media writing training

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { 2 } \\ & 3\end{aligned}$ | 72 | 27.4 | 29.5 | 29.5 |
|  | 46 | 17.5 | 18.9 | 48.4 |
|  | 68 | 25.9 | 27.9 | 76.2 |
|  | 37 | 14.1 | 15.2 | 91.4 |
|  | 21 | 8.0 | 8.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 244 | 92.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 19 | 7.2 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 62. Writing support: Communications/media skills training

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 66 | 25.1 | 27.6 | 27.6 |
|  | 47 | 17.9 | 19.7 | 47.3 |
|  | 59 | 22.4 | 24.7 | 72.0 |
|  | 46 | 17.5 | 19.2 | 91.2 |
|  | 21 | 8.0 | 8.8 | 100.0 |
|  | 239 | 90.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 24 | 9.1 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 63. Summary Writing support

|  | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Writing workshops, <br> courses, lectures | 246 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.5203 | 1.28317 |
| Reading Circles | 242 |  | 1.00 | 5.00 | 2.9132 |


| Training in working as <br> part of an editorial <br> board | 247 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.3806 | 1.19318 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Training in publishing | 241 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.3693 | 1.21129 |
| Tailored support in <br> writing for mainstream | 242 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 2.8347 | 1.24472 |
| Social media writing <br> training | 244 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 2.5451 | 1.29020 |
| Communications/medi <br> a skills training | 239 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 2.6192 | 1.30666 |
| Mentoring | 247 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.7206 | 1.21930 |
| Valid N (listwise) | 225 |  |  |  |  |

### 2.7.Motivation to Research and Research Collaborators

Tables 64 to 74 present answers for each item assessing motivation to research. Items rated as most influential include: 'Intrinsic motivation' (Mean=4.7; 94\% of the sample rated the item 4 or 5), 'Desire to learn more' (Mean=4.4; $86 \%$ of the sample rated the item 4 or 5 ), 'Desire to progress the field' (Mean $=4.3 ; 84 \%$ rated the item as 4 or 5 ). Self perceptions as a successful research had significant correlations with the following items:
A. Desire to progress the field ( $\mathrm{r}=.34, \mathrm{p}<.01$ )
B. Sense of competition within my field ( $\mathrm{r}=.20, \mathrm{p}<.01$ )
C. Desire to learn more ( $\mathrm{r}=.21, \mathrm{p}<.01$ )
D. Desire to improve the quality of my teaching ( $\mathrm{r}=.17, \mathrm{p}<.01$ ).

Self-perception of being a successful researcher did not show any significant correlations with Intrinsic motivation.

Table 64. Motivation to research: Intrinsic motivation

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | 3 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
|  | 3 | 13 | 4.9 | 5.2 |

Table 65. Motivation to research: Institutional demands


Table 66. Motivation to research: Desire to progress the field

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| is not at all influential | 3 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 2 | 5 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 3.2 |
| Valid 3 | 32 | 12.2 | 12.9 | 16.1 |
| Vaid 4 | 79 | 30.0 | 31.7 | 47.8 |
| is very influential | 130 | 49.4 | 52.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 249 | 94.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 14 | 5.3 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 67. Motivation to research: Job security

$\left.$|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | | Cumulative |
| :---: |
| Percent | \right\rvert\,

Table 68. Motivation to research: Recognition by my institution

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| is not at all influential | 13 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 5.2 |
| 2 | 32 | 12.2 | 12.9 | 18.1 |
| Valid 3 | 62 | 23.6 | 24.9 | 43.0 |
| Valid 4 | 89 | 33.8 | 35.7 | 78.7 |
| is very influential | 53 | 20.2 | 21.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 249 | 94.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 14 | 5.3 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 69. Motivation to research: Industry needs

$\left.$|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | | Cumulative |
| :---: |
| Percent | \right\rvert\,

Table 70. Motivation to research: Sense of competition within my field


Table 71. Motivation to research: Desire to learn more


Table 72. Motivation to research: Desire to improve the quality of my teaching


Table 73. Motivation to research: Opportunity to collaborate with colleagues

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| is not at all influential <br> Valid | 11 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
|  | 2 | 10 | 3.8 | 4.0 |

Table 74. Motivation to research: Mobility: Opportunity to travel


Table 75. Motivation to research: Connectedness/ part of professional community

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| is not at all influential <br> Valid | 12 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
|  | 2 | 9 | 3.4 | 3.6 |

Table 76. Motivation to research summary: Descriptive Statistics

|  | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intrinsic motivation | 250 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 4.7040 | .62123 |
| Institutional demands | 248 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.4798 | 1.06452 |
| Desire to progress the | 249 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 4.3173 | .86121 |
| field |  |  |  |  |  |
| Job security | 246 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.4472 | 1.17597 |
| Recognition by my | 249 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.5502 | 1.11735 |
| institution |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industry needs | 248 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 2.6935 | 1.30820 |
| Sense of competition | 250 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.2280 | 1.26428 |
| within my field |  |  |  |  |  |


| Desire to learn more | 250 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 4.3760 | . 81318 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Desire to improve the quality of my teaching | 249 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.9839 | 1.08497 |
| The opportunity to collaborate with colleagues | 249 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.9558 | 1.04826 |
| Mobility - the opportunity to travel and working internationally | 250 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.8440 | 1.21059 |
| Connectedness - the desire to be part of professional/research community | 249 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 4.0522 | 1.08197 |
| Valid N (listwise) | 240 |  |  |  |  |

As regards types of collaborations, answers of participants are presented in Tables 77 to 82. Top 3 collaborators, checked by the sample include: Other academics ( $88.6 \%$ ), international collaborators ( $68 \%$ ) and doctoral candidates ( $64 \%$ )

Table 77. Research Collaboration: Other academic staff

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other academic } \\ & \text { staff }\end{aligned}$ | 233 | 88.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Missing | 30 | 11.4 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 78. Research Collaboration: Doctoral candidates

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid Doctoral candidates | 169 | 64.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Missing | 94 | 35.7 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 79. Research Collaboration: Masters students

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Valid Masters students | 118 | 44.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Missing | 145 | 55.1 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 80. Research Collaboration: Postdoctoral/early career investigators

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Postdoctoral colleagues <br> and other early career <br> investigators | 139 | 52.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Missing |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

Table 81. Research Collaboration: International collaborators

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Valid International | 179 | 68.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| collaborators | 84 | 31.9 |  |  |
| Missing | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

Table 82. Research Collaboration: National collaborators

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid National collaborators | 145 | 55.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Missing | 118 | 44.9 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

### 2.8. Research support

In terms of research support, specific results for each type of support are presented in Tables 8390. A classification of top three supports includes: 1 . Grant funding (reported by $66.5 \%$ of the sample) 2. Presenting results at international events (reported by $65 \%$ of the sample) 3. The opportunity to collaborate with others (reported by $58 \%$ of the sample). The type of support which could be classified as last was "Workshops/professional development on researching"-checked by only $33 \%$ of the sample.

Table 83. Research support: Grant funding

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid Grant funding | 175 | 66.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Missing | 88 | 33.5 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 84. Research support: Release time to conduct research

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Valid } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Release time to } \\ \text { conduct research }\end{array}\end{array}$ | 116 | 44.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Missing | 147 | 55.9 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 85. Research support: Flexibility to adjust commitments to research, teaching, and service

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Flexibility to adjust <br> commitments to <br> research, teaching, <br> and service | 120 | 45.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Valid |  |  |  |  |
| Missing | 143 | 54.4 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

Table 86. Research support: Opportunities to collaborate with others

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ValidOpportunities to <br> collaborate with others <br> (industry, academic or <br> other the rend users) | 152 | 57.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Missing | 111 | 42.2 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 87. Research support: Workshops/professional development on researching

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ValidWorkshops/professional <br> development on <br> researching | 86 | 32.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

Table 88. Research support: International professional development opportunities

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ValidInternational <br> professional <br> development <br> opportunities | 109 | 41.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Missing |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

Table 89. Research support: Presenting research results at international events

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Presenting research <br> results at international <br> events |  |  | 170 | 64.6 |
| Valid |  | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | 93 |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

Table 90. Research support: Attending research-oriented events

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid <br> Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ValidAttending research- <br> oriented events | 149 | 56.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Missing | 114 | 43.3 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

### 2.9. Teaching Experiences: Training, Qualifications

Tables 91-94, show answer distributions for various teaching experiences. As can be noted, $61 \%$ of the sample reported receiving no initial teacher training (training before entering class for the first time), $67 \%$ reported no support on teaching during first year at the institution, and $40 \%$ reported lack of ongoing institutional support for teaching. Only $55 \%$ of the sample have a formal teaching qualification at the moment.

Table 91. Initial teacher training

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yes |  |  |  |  | 68 |
| Valid | 25.9 | 27.1 | 27.1 |  |  |
|  | No | 154 | 58.6 | 61.4 | 88.4 |
|  | Somewhat | 29 | 11.0 | 11.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 251 | 95.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 12 | 4.6 |  |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |  |

Table 92. Teaching qualification: formal recognition

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | 138 | 52.5 | 54.8 | 54.8 |  |
|  | Yo | 79 | 30.0 | 31.3 | 86.1 |
|  | Somewhat | 35 | 13.3 | 13.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 252 | 95.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 11 | 4.2 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |  |

Table 93. Institutional support during first year

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yalid | 49 | 18.6 | 19.6 | 19.6 |  |
|  | Yos | 167 | 63.5 | 66.8 | 86.4 |
|  | Somewhat | 34 | 12.9 | 13.6 | 100.0 |
|  | 250 | 95.1 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing System | 13 | 4.9 |  |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |  |

Table 94. Ongoing institutional support for teaching

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yalid | 79 | 30.0 | 31.7 | 31.7 |  |
|  | No | 99 | 37.6 | 39.8 | 71.5 |
|  | Somewhat | 71 | 27.0 | 28.5 | 100.0 |
|  | 249 | 94.7 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing System | 14 | 5.3 |  |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |  |

### 2.10. Factors important for teaching development

Tables 95-107 show answers of participants as regards factors relevant to teaching development. The top 3 factors checked by the greater majority of the sample include:

1. Feedback from students (Mean $=4.3$; Reported 4 or 5 by $83 \%$ of the sample)
2. Student performance/student learning (Mean=3.9; Reported 4 or 5 by $67 \%$ of the sample);
3. Sharing pedagogic practice through informal professional conversations (Mean=3.8, Reported 4 or 5 by $67 \%$ of the sample).

Correlation analyses between self-perceptions as a successful teacher and the factors indicated: a. The strongest significant correlation between self-perception as a successful teacher and feedback/ teaching evaluation from students ( $\mathrm{r}=.23, \mathrm{p}<.01$ ).
b. Weak but still significant correlations between self-perception as a successful teacher and

- Engaging with the scholarship of teaching \& learning/literature $\mathrm{r}=.17, \mathrm{p}<.01$
- Researching your teaching $\mathrm{r}=.16, \mathrm{p}<.05$
-International teaching opportunities $\mathrm{r}=.13, \mathrm{p}<.05$
Giving feedback/monitoring colleagues $\mathrm{r}=.12, \mathrm{p}<.05$

Table 95. Importance for teaching development: Feedback from your students

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| least important | 1 | . 4 | . 4 | . 4 |
| 2 | 3 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.6 |
| Valid 3 | 38 | 14.4 | 15.1 | 16.7 |
| , 4 | 91 | 34.6 | 36.3 | 53.0 |
| most important | 118 | 44.9 | 47.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 251 | 95.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 12 | 4.6 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 96. Importance for teaching development: Feedback from colleagues

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| least important | 11 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
|  | 29 | 11.0 | 11.7 | 16.1 |
|  | 3 | 50 | 19.0 | 20.2 |

Table 97. Importance for teaching development: Feedback colleagues

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| least important | 28 | 10.6 | 11.4 | 11.4 |
| 2 | 26 | 9.9 | 10.6 | 22.0 |
| 3 | 67 | 25.5 | 27.2 | 49.2 |
| Valid 4 | 73 | 27.8 | 29.7 | 78.9 |
| most important | 52 | 19.8 | 21.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 246 | 93.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 17 | 6.5 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 98. Importance for teaching development: Sharing pedagogic practice through informal professional conversations

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| least important | 16 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 6.4 |
| 2 | 12 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 11.2 |
| 3 | 53 | 20.2 | 21.3 | 32.5 |
| 4 | 86 | 32.7 | 34.5 | 67.1 |
| most important | 82 | 31.2 | 32.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 249 | 94.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 14 | 5.3 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 99. Importance for teaching development: Attending teaching and learning workshops and seminars

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cumulative Percent |  |  |  |  |
| least important | 34 | 12.9 | 13.8 | 13.8 |
|  | 28 | 10.6 | 11.4 | 25.2 |
|  | 3 | 54 | 20.5 | 22.0 |

Table 100. Importance for teaching development: Contributing to teaching and learning workshops and seminars

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| least important | 38 | 14.4 | 15.5 | 15.5 |
| 2 | 23 | 8.7 | 9.4 | 24.9 |
| Valid 3 | 57 | 21.7 | 23.3 | 48.2 |
| 4 | 68 | 25.9 | 27.8 | 75.9 |
| most important | 59 | 22.4 | 24.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 245 | 93.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 18 | 6.8 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 101. Importance for teaching development: Awards and recognition

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| least important | 50 | 19.0 | 20.2 | 20.2 |
| 2 | 34 | 12.9 | 13.8 | 34.0 |
| Valid 3 | 64 | 24.3 | 25.9 | 59.9 |
| Vald | 53 | 20.2 | 21.5 | 81.4 |
| most important | 46 | 17.5 | 18.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 247 | 93.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 16 | 6.1 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 102. Importance for teaching development: Team-teaching opportunities

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| least important | 29 | 11.0 | 11.9 | 11.9 |
| 2 | 27 | 10.3 | 11.1 | 23.0 |
| Valid 3 | 54 | 20.5 | 22.1 | 45.1 |
| Valid 4 | 73 | 27.8 | 29.9 | 75.0 |
| most important | 61 | 23.2 | 25.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 244 | 92.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 19 | 7.2 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 103. Importance for teaching development: International teaching
opportunities

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| least important | 33 | 12.5 | 13.5 | 13.5 |
| 2 | 17 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 20.5 |
| Valid 3 | 47 | 17.9 | 19.3 | 39.8 |
| Vaid 4 | 57 | 21.7 | 23.4 | 63.1 |
| most important | 90 | 34.2 | 36.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 244 | 92.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 19 | 7.2 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 104. Importance for teaching development: Researching your teaching

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| least important | 32 | 12.2 | 13.1 | 13.1 |
| 2 | 22 | 8.4 | 9.0 | 22.1 |
| Valid 3 | 52 | 19.8 | 21.3 | 43.4 |
| Vald 4 | 65 | 24.7 | 26.6 | 70.1 |
| most important | 73 | 27.8 | 29.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 244 | 92.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 19 | 7.2 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 105. Importance for teaching development: Engaging with the scholarship of teaching and learning

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| least important | 28 | 10.6 | 11.4 | 11.4 |
| 2 | 22 | 8.4 | 8.9 | 20.3 |
| Valid 3 | 58 | 22.1 | 23.6 | 43.9 |
| Vand | 67 | 25.5 | 27.2 | 71.1 |
| most important | 71 | 27.0 | 28.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 246 | 93.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 17 | 6.5 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 106. Importance for teaching development: Student performance

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| least important | 12 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| 2 | 15 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 11.0 |
| Valid 3 | 54 | 20.5 | 22.0 | 32.9 |
| Vaid 4 | 64 | 24.3 | 26.0 | 58.9 |
| most important | 101 | 38.4 | 41.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 246 | 93.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 17 | 6.5 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 107. Importance for teaching development: Summary of Descriptive
Statistics

|  | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feedback from students | 251 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 4.2829 | . 79225 |
| Feedback (teaching evaluation/review) | 248 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.7218 | 1.13431 |
| Giving feedback on teaching to and/or mentoring other colleagues | 246 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.3862 | 1.24918 |
| Sharing pedagogic practice through informal professional conversations | 249 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.8273 | 1.13517 |
| Attending teaching and learning workshops | 246 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.4228 | 1.37029 |
| Contributing to teaching and learning workshops | 245 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.3551 | 1.35518 |
| Awards and recognition | 247 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.0445 | 1.38298 |
| Team-teaching (coteaching) opportunities | 244 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.4508 | 1.29959 |
| International teaching opportunities | 244 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.6311 | 1.38897 |
| Researching your teaching | 244 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.5123 | 1.35015 |
| Engaging with the scholarship of teaching and learning | 246 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.5325 | 1.30187 |
| Student performance - <br> student learning <br> Valid N (listwise) | 246 238 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.9228 | 1.14559 |

### 2.11. Impressions of Teaching

Tables 108-113 present participant answers on Teaching impressions. 'Building rapport with my students is an important part of my teaching' and 'My research informs my teaching' were the two items rated the highest by the largest majority of the sample. (They were rated as 4 or 5 by $75 \%$ of the sample, Mean=3.8). Second was rated: 'Improving teaching and learning practices motivates my pursuit of research' ( $66 \%$ of the sample; Mean=3.6). 'I identify myself as a successful teacher' correlates significantly with: a. Building rapport with my students is an important part of my teaching ( $\mathrm{r}=.25, \mathrm{p}<.01$ ) b . Improving teaching and learning practices motivates my pursuit of research ( $\mathrm{r}=.16, \mathrm{p}<.01$ ).

Table 108. Teaching impressions: I have become a better university teacher by teaching in different institutions in my home country

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| not applicable | 65 | 24.7 | 24.8 | 24.8 |
| strongly <br> disagree | 5 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 26.7 |
| disagree | 14 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 32.1 |
| Valid uncertain | 43 | 16.3 | 16.4 | 48.5 |
| agree | 66 | 25.1 | 25.2 | 73.7 |
| strongly agree | 69 | 26.2 | 26.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 262 | 99.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 1 | . 4 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 109. Teaching impressions: I have become a better university teacher by teaching in international contexts

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| not applicable | 67 | 25.5 | 25.6 | 25.6 |
| strongly <br> disagree | 2 | . 8 | . 8 | 26.3 |
| disagree | 7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 29.0 |
| uncertain | 31 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 40.8 |
| agree | 65 | 24.7 | 24.8 | 65.6 |
| strongly agree | 90 | 34.2 | 34.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 262 | 99.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 1 | . 4 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 110. Teaching impressions: My research informs my teaching practices

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| not applicable | 21 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| strongly <br> disagree | 5 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 9.9 |
| disagree | 9 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 13.4 |
| Valid uncertain | 30 | 11.4 | 11.5 | 24.8 |
| agree | 92 | 35.0 | 35.1 | 59.9 |
| strongly agree | 105 | 39.9 | 40.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 262 | 99.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 1 | . 4 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 111. Teaching impressions: Improving teaching and learning practices

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| not applicable | 20 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.6 |
| strongly <br> disagree | 5 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 9.5 |
| disagree | 16 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 15.6 |
| uncertain | 48 | 18.3 | 18.3 | 34.0 |
| agree | 92 | 35.0 | 35.1 | 69.1 |
| strongly agree | 81 | 30.8 | 30.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 262 | 99.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 1 | . 4 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 112. Teaching impressions: Building rapport with my students is an important part of my teaching

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| not applicable | 24 | 9.1 | 9.2 | 9.2 |
| strongly <br> disagree | 3 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 10.3 |
| disagree | 5 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 12.2 |
| uncertain | 33 | 12.5 | 12.6 | 24.8 |
| agree | 95 | 36.1 | 36.3 | 61.1 |
| strongly agree | 102 | 38.8 | 38.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 262 | 99.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 1 263 | .4 100.0 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 113. Teaching Impressions Summary: Descriptive Statistics


### 2.12. Learning Opportunities

Tables 114-130, show participants' answers as regards learning opportunities they consider most helpful. Top 5 items rated as most helpful by the majority of participants (rated as 4 or 5) include:

1. Support on engaging in EU/international projects (74\%)
2. Conference attendance ( $73 \%$ )
3. Disciplinary related research support ( $72 \%$ )
4. Support on building collaborations and networks (67\%)
5. Cross disciplinary research support (64\%)

Self-identification as a successful learner revealed weak but significant correlations with 4 of the above supports including:

1. Conference attendance ( $\mathrm{r}=.22, \mathrm{p}<.01$ )
2. Cross-disciplinary research support ( $\mathrm{r}=.17, \mathrm{p}<.01$ )
3. Support on building collaboration and networks ( $\mathrm{r}=.15, \mathrm{p}<.05$ )
4. Support in engaging in international projects ( $\mathrm{r}=.16, \mathrm{p}<.01$ )

Table 114. Learning opportunities: Conference/event attendance

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | not at all helpful | 6 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
|  | 2 | 14 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 8.1 |
|  | 3 | 48 | 18.3 | 19.4 | 27.4 |
|  | 4 | 87 | 33.1 | 35.1 | 62.5 |
|  | absolutely helpful | 93 | 35.4 | 37.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 248 | 94.3 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 15 | 5.7 |  |  |
| Total |  | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 115. Learning opportunities: Teaching and learning programmes

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | not at all helpful | 19 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 7.8 |
|  | 2 | 25 | 9.5 | 10.2 | 18.0 |
|  | 3 | 60 | 22.8 | 24.5 | 42.4 |
|  | 4 | 74 | 28.1 | 30.2 | 72.7 |
|  | absolutely helpful | 67 | 25.5 | 27.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 245 | 93.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 18 | 6.8 |  |  |
| Total |  | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 116. Learning opportunities: Teaching and learning workshops

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| not at all helpful | 12 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
|  | 2 | 22 | 8.4 | 8.9 |

Table 117. Learning opportunities: Disciplinary related research support

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| not at all helpful | 9 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
|  | 2 | 9 | 3.4 | 3.7 |

Table 118. Learning opportunities: Cross disciplinary research support

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | not at all helpful | 10 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
|  | 2 | 13 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 9.4 |
|  | 3 | 63 | 24.0 | 25.8 | 35.2 |
|  | 4 | 71 | 27.0 | 29.1 | 64.3 |
|  | absolutely helpful | 87 | 33.1 | 35.7 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 244 | 92.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 19 | 7.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 119. Learning opportunities: Support on building collaborations and networks

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| not at all helpful | 6 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
|  | 2 | 17 | 6.5 | 6.9 |

Table 120. Learning opportunities: Support on engaging in EU/international projects

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| not at all helpful | 7 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 |  |
|  | 2 | 9 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 6.5 |
|  | 3 | 49 | 18.6 | 19.9 | 26.4 |
|  | 4 | 60 | 22.8 | 24.4 | 50.8 |
|  | absolutely helpful | 121 | 46.0 | 49.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 246 | 93.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 17 | 6.5 |  |  |
| Total |  | 100.0 |  |  |  |

Table 121. Learning opportunities: People management

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | not at all helpful | 18 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 7.4 |
|  | 2 | 30 | 11.4 | 12.3 | 19.8 |
|  | 3 | 66 | 25.1 | 27.2 | 46.9 |
|  | 4 | 73 | 27.8 | 30.0 | 77.0 |
|  | absolutely helpful | 56 | 21.3 | 23.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 243 | 92.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 20 | 7.6 |  |  |
| Total |  | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 122. Learning opportunities: Project management

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| not at all helpful | 17 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
|  | 2 | 23 | 8.7 | 9.5 | 16.5 |
|  | 3 | 56 | 21.3 | 23.1 | 39.7 |
|  | 4 | 75 | 28.5 | 31.0 | 70.7 |
|  | absolutely helpful | 71 | 27.0 | 29.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 242 | 92.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 21 | 8.0 |  |  |
| Total |  | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 123. Learning opportunities: Financial training

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | not at all helpful | 32 | 12.2 | 13.2 | 13.2 |
|  | 2 | 37 | 14.1 | 15.2 | 28.4 |
|  | 3 | 73 | 27.8 | 30.0 | 58.4 |
|  | 4 | 57 | 21.7 | 23.5 | 81.9 |
|  | absolutely helpful | 44 | 16.7 | 18.1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 243 | 92.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 20 | 7.6 |  |  |
| Total |  | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 124. Learning opportunities: ICT (technology) training

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| not at all helpful | 27 | 10.3 | 11.3 | 11.3 |
|  | 2 | 19 | 7.2 | 7.9 |

Table 125. Learning opportunities: Leadership training

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| not at all helpful | 26 | 9.9 | 10.8 | 10.8 |
|  | 2 | 28 | 10.6 | 11.6 |

Table 126. Learning opportunities: Work life balance support/training

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | not at all helpful | 27 | 10.3 | 11.3 | 11.3 |
|  | 2 | 27 | 10.3 | 11.3 | 22.5 |
|  | 3 | 71 | 27.0 | 29.6 | 52.1 |
|  | 4 | 46 | 17.5 | 19.2 | 71.3 |
|  | absolutely helpful | 69 | 26.2 | 28.8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 240 | 91.3 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 23 | 8.7 |  |  |
| Total |  | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 127. Learning opportunities: Time management

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | not at all helpful | 25 | 9.5 | 10.4 | 10.4 |
|  | 2 | 33 | 12.5 | 13.8 | 24.2 |
|  | 3 | 52 | 19.8 | 21.7 | 45.8 |
|  | 4 | 62 | 23.6 | 25.8 | 71.7 |
|  | absolutely helpful | 68 | 25.9 | 28.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 240 | 91.3 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 23 | 8.7 |  |  |
| Total |  | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 128. Learning opportunities: Career planning

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | not at all helpful | 38 | 14.4 | 15.6 | 15.6 |
|  | 2 | 30 | 11.4 | 12.3 | 27.9 |
|  | 3 | 62 | 23.6 | 25.4 | 53.3 |
|  | 4 | 64 | 24.3 | 26.2 | 79.5 |
|  | absolutely helpful | 50 | 19.0 | 20.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 244 | 92.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 19 | 7.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 129. Learning opportunities: Negotiating institutional systems and processes

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| not at all helpful | 33 | 12.5 | 13.6 | 13.6 |  |
|  | 2 | 25 | 9.5 | 10.3 | 23.9 |
|  | 3 | 80 | 30.4 | 32.9 | 56.8 |
|  | 4 | 56 | 21.3 | 23.0 | 79.8 |
|  | 49 | 18.6 | 20.2 | 100.0 |  |
|  | absolutely helpful | 243 | 92.4 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 20 | 7.6 |  |  |
| Missing | System | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 129. Learning opportunities: Recruiting staff

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| not at all helpful | 33 | 12.5 | 13.6 | 13.6 |  |
|  | 2 | 28 | 10.6 | 11.5 | 25.1 |
|  | 3 | 78 | 29.7 | 32.1 | 57.2 |
|  | 4 | 62 | 23.6 | 25.5 | 82.7 |
|  | 42 | 16.0 | 17.3 | 100.0 |  |
|  | absolutely helpful | 243 | 92.4 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 20 | 7.6 |  |  |
| Missing | System | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 130. Learning opportunities: Managing teams

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| not at all helpful | 19 | 7.2 | 7.9 | 7.9 |  |
|  | 2 | 28 | 10.6 | 11.6 | 19.4 |
|  | 3 | 71 | 27.0 | 29.3 | 48.8 |
|  | 4 | 73 | 27.8 | 30.2 | 78.9 |
|  | absolutely helpful | 19.4 | 21.1 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 242 | 92.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 21 | 8.0 |  |  |
| Total |  | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

### 2.13. Factors relevant to continuous professional development (CPD)

Tables 131-138 show participants answers on factors relevant to CPD. The top 3 factors rated as most important (4-5) by the majority of the sample include:

1. Personal interest in further professional learning (reported 4 or 5 by $86 \%$ of the sample)
2. Time (reported 4 or 5 by $77 \%$ of the sample)
3. Funding from my institution/university for CPD (reported 4 or 5 by $65 \%$ of the sample).

Self- identification as a successful learner significantly correlates with a. Personal interest in further professional development ( $\mathrm{r}=.25, \mathrm{p}<.01$ ) b. Funding from my institution ( $\mathrm{r}=.17, \mathrm{p}<.01$ ).

The factor identified as least relevant was 'Institutional requirement for CPD for staff' (only 46\% of the sample rated it as 4-5).

Table 131. Importance for CPD: The availability of CPD opportunities in my institution/university

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| not at all important | 25 | 9.5 | 10.3 | 10.3 |
|  | 22 | 8.4 | 9.1 | 19.3 |
|  | 2 | 52 | 19.8 | 21.4 |

Table 132. Importance for CPD: Funding from my institution/university for CPD

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| not at all important | 27 | 10.3 | 11.2 | 11.2 |
|  | 20 | 7.6 | 8.3 | 19.5 |
|  | 3 | 38 | 14.4 | 15.8 |

Table 133. Importance for CPD: Time

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| not at all important | 5 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
|  | 10 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 6.3 |
|  | 3 | 39 | 14.8 | 16.3 |

Table 134. Importance for CPD: Personal interest in further professional learning

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| not at all important | 3 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| 2 | 5 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 3.3 |
| Valid 3 | 25 | 9.5 | 10.5 | 13.8 |
| Valid 4 | 62 | 23.6 | 25.9 | 39.7 |
| very important | 144 | 54.8 | 60.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 239 | 90.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 24 | 9.1 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 135. Importance for CPD: Institutional recognition of further professional

| learning |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| not at all important | 16 | 6.1 | 6.7 | 6.7 |
| 2 | 18 | 6.8 | 7.5 | 14.2 |
| 3 | 59 | 22.4 | 24.6 | 38.8 |
| 4 | 93 | 35.4 | 38.8 | 77.5 |
| very important | 54 | 20.5 | 22.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 240 | 91.3 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 23 | 8.7 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 136. Importance for CPD: A clear framework for continuing professional development

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| not at all important | 19 | 7.2 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| 2 | 24 | 9.1 | 10.1 | 18.1 |
| Valid 3 | 49 | 18.6 | 20.6 | 38.7 |
| V | 79 | 30.0 | 33.2 | 71.8 |
| very important | 67 | 25.5 | 28.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 238 | 90.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 25 | 9.5 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 137. Importance for CPD: Institutional commitment to CPD for staff

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| not at all important | 25 | 9.5 | 10.5 | 10.5 |
| 2 | 33 | 12.5 | 13.8 | 24.3 |
| Valid 3 | 47 | 17.9 | 19.7 | 43.9 |
| 4 | 71 | 27.0 | 29.7 | 73.6 |
| very important | 63 | 24.0 | 26.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 239 | 90.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 24 | 9.1 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 138. Importance for CPD: Institutional requirement for CPD for staff

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| not at all important | 28 | 10.6 | 11.7 | 11.7 |
| 2 | 34 | 12.9 | 14.2 | 25.9 |
| Valid 3 | 66 | 25.1 | 27.6 | 53.6 |
| Valid 4 | 66 | 25.1 | 27.6 | 81.2 |
| very important | 45 | 17.1 | 18.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 239 | 90.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 24 | 9.1 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

### 2.14. Personal traits

Tables 139-150 show participants' answers on personal traits. The top 5 traits rated as most influential by the majority of participants included: a. Curiosity (rated as $4-5$ by $93 \%$ of the sample), b. Ability to Problem Solve (rated as $4-5$ by $90 \%$ ), c. Optimism/ Openness to new experiences/Freedom (rated all three as $4-5$ by $89 \%$ of the sample) d. Determination (rated as $4-5$ by $87 \%$ ), e. Openness to collaboration ( $83 \%$ ).

Correlations between self perceptions of being a successful writer and Personal traits revealed significant correlations with: Imagination ( $\mathrm{r}=.24, \mathrm{p}<.01$ ); Curiosity ( $\mathrm{r}=.15, \mathrm{p}<.05$ ), Kindness ( $\mathrm{r}=.18, \mathrm{p}<.01$ ), willingness to take risks ( $\mathrm{r}=.14, \mathrm{p}<.05$ ), Openness to collaboration ( $\mathrm{r}=.13, \mathrm{p}<.05$ ), Willingness to travel for work ( $\mathrm{r}=.15, \mathrm{p}<.05$ )

Correlations between self perceptions of being a successful researcher and Personal traits revealed significant correlations with: Kindness ( $\mathrm{r}=.14$, $\mathrm{p}<.05$ ), Willingness to take risks ( $\mathrm{r}=.14$, $\mathrm{p}<.05$ ), Imagination ( $\mathrm{r}=.21, \mathrm{p}<.01$ ), Openness to new experiences ( $\mathrm{r}=.13, \mathrm{p}<.05$ ), Willingness to travel for work ( $\mathrm{r}=.15, \mathrm{p}<.05$ ), Willingness to live overseas ( $\mathrm{r}=.13, \mathrm{p}<.05$ ).

Correlations between self-perceptions of being a successful teacher and Personal traits revealed significant correlations with: Imagination ( $\mathrm{r}=.14, \mathrm{p}<.05$ ), Ability to problem solve ( $\mathrm{r}=.18$, $\mathrm{p}<.01$ ), Openness to collaboration ( $\mathrm{r}=.15, \mathrm{p}<.01$ ), Willingness to travel for work ( $\mathrm{r}=.21, \mathrm{p}<.01$ ),

Correlations between self perceptions of being a successful learner and Personal traits revealed significant correlations with: Sound values ( $\mathrm{r}=.32$, $\mathrm{p}<.01$ ); Imagination ( $\mathrm{r}=.29, \mathrm{p}<.01$ );' Strategic thinking ( $\mathrm{r}=.28, \mathrm{p}<.01$ ); Kindness and compassion ( $\mathrm{r}=.26$, $\mathrm{p}<.01$ ); Willingness to take risks ( $\mathrm{r}=.25, \mathrm{p}<.01$ ); Openness to collaboration ( $\mathrm{r}=.23, \mathrm{p}<.01$ ); Willingness to travel for work ( $\mathrm{r}=.23$, $\mathrm{p}<.01$ ); Ability to problem solve ( $\mathrm{r}=.22, \mathrm{p}<.01$ ); Openness to new experiences ( $\mathrm{r}=.20, \mathrm{p}<.01$ ),

Determination ( $\mathrm{r}=.19, \mathrm{p}<.05$ ), Willingness to live and work overseas ( $\mathrm{r}=.15, \mathrm{p}<.05$ ), Freedom ( $\mathrm{r}=.14, \mathrm{p}<.05$ ).

As might be noted personal factors common to successful teaching and learning include: Imagination, Ability to problem solve, Openness to collaboration Willingness to travel for work. Personal factors common to successful research and writing include: Imagination, Kindness, Willingness to travel for work. Finally, personal factors revealing correlations common to all four areas include: Imagination and Willingness to travel for work.

Table 139. Personal traits: Willingness to take risks

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| least influential | 10 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| 2 | 16 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 10.7 |
| Valid 3 | 68 | 25.9 | 27.9 | 38.5 |
| Vald | 85 | 32.3 | 34.8 | 73.4 |
| most influential | 65 | 24.7 | 26.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 244 | 92.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 19 | 7.2 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 140. Personal traits: Strategic thinking and planning

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| least influential | 8 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| 2 | 13 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 8.6 |
| 3 | 51 | 19.4 | 21.0 | 29.6 |
| V 4 | 76 | 28.9 | 31.3 | 60.9 |
| most influential | 95 | 36.1 | 39.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 243 | 92.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 20 | 7.6 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 141. Personal traits: Curiosity

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2 | . 8 | . 8 | . 8 |
| 3 | 16 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 7.4 |
| Valid 4 | 61 | 23.2 | 25.2 | 32.6 |
| most influential | 163 | 62.0 | 67.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 242 | 92.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 21 | 8.0 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 142. Personal traits: Optimism, positive attitude

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| least influential | 2 | . 8 | . 8 | . 8 |
| 2 | 3 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 2.1 |
| Valid 3 | 20 | 7.6 | 8.2 | 10.3 |
| 4 | 75 | 28.5 | 30.9 | 41.2 |
| most influential | 143 | 54.4 | 58.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 243 | 92.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 20 | 7.6 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 143. Personal traits: Kindness and compassion

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| least influential | 6 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
|  | 22 | 8.4 | 9.1 | 11.6 |
|  | 2 | 56 | 21.3 | 23.1 |

Table 144. Personal traits: Freedom

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| least influential | 3 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 2 | 2 | . 8 | . 8 | 2.1 |
| Valid 3 | 23 | 8.7 | 9.5 | 11.6 |
| - 4 | 73 | 27.8 | 30.2 | 41.7 |
| most influential | 141 | 53.6 | 58.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 242 | 92.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 21 | 8.0 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 145. Personal traits: Sound values - respect, equality, fairness, integrity

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| least influential | 4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 2 | 9 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 5.3 |
| 3 | 36 | 13.7 | 14.8 | 20.1 |
| V | 79 | 30.0 | 32.4 | 52.5 |
| most influential | 116 | 44.1 | 47.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 244 | 92.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 19 | 7.2 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 146. Personal traits: Imagination

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | 2 | .8 | .8 | .8 |
|  | least influential | 11 | 4.2 | 4.5 |


| Missing System | 20 | 7.6 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |

Table 147. Personal traits: Determination/persistence

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2 | . 8 | . 8 | . 8 |
| 3 | 30 | 11.4 | 12.3 | 13.2 |
| Valid 4 | 73 | 27.8 | 30.0 | 43.2 |
| most influential | 138 | 52.5 | 56.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 243 | 92.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 20 | 7.6 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 148. Personal traits: Openness to new experiences

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 3 | 24 | 9.1 | 9.9 | 11.5 |
| Valid 4 | 68 | 25.9 | 28.0 | 39.5 |
| most influential | 147 | 55.9 | 60.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 243 | 92.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 20 | 7.6 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 149. Personal traits: Ability to problem solve

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 3 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 3 | 22 | 8.4 | 9.1 | 10.3 |
| Valid 4 | 86 | 32.7 | 35.4 | 45.7 |
| most influential | 132 | 50.2 | 54.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 243 | 92.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 20 | 7.6 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 150. Personal traits: Openness to collaboration

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| least influential | 1 | . 4 | . 4 | . 4 |
| 2 | 9 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 4.1 |
| Valid 3 | 30 | 11.4 | 12.2 | 16.3 |
| 4 | 73 | 27.8 | 29.8 | 46.1 |
| most influential | 132 | 50.2 | 53.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 245 | 93.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 18 | 6.8 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 151. Personal traits: Willingness to travel for work

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| least influential | 14 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 5.8 |
| 2 | 20 | 7.6 | 8.3 | 14.0 |
| Valid 3 | 40 | 15.2 | 16.5 | 30.6 |
| Vald | 71 | 27.0 | 29.3 | 59.9 |
| most influential | 97 | 36.9 | 40.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 242 | 92.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 21 | 8.0 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 152. Personal traits: Willingness to live and work overseas

|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| least influential | 37 | 14.1 | 15.4 | 15.4 |
| 2 | 34 | 12.9 | 14.2 | 29.6 |
| Valid 3 | 46 | 17.5 | 19.2 | 48.8 |
| Valid 4 | 57 | 21.7 | 23.8 | 72.5 |
| most influential | 66 | 25.1 | 27.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 240 | 91.3 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing System | 23 | 8.7 |  |  |
| Total | 263 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 150. Personal Traits Summary: Descriptive Statistics

|  | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Curiosity | 242 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 4.5909 | . 65216 |
| Optimism, positive attitude | 243 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 4.4568 | . 76681 |
| Kindness and compassion | 242 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.8388 | 1.05986 |
| Freedom | 242 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 4.4339 | . 79793 |
| Sound values - respect, equality, fairness, integrity | 244 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 4.2049 | . 93789 |
| Willingness to take risks | 244 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.7336 | 1.05348 |
| Strategic thinking and planning | 243 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.9753 | 1.05598 |
| Imagination | 243 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 4.0905 | . 92264 |
| Determination/persiste nce | 243 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 4.4280 | . 73712 |
| Openness to new experiences | 243 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 4.4733 | .74016 |
| Ability to problem solve | 243 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 4.4280 | . 70854 |
| Openness to collaboration | 245 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 4.3306 | . 85942 |
| Willingness to travel for work | 242 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.8967 | 1.18849 |
| Willingness to live and work overseas Valid N (listwise) | 240 229 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.3375 | 1.41075 |

## 3. Summary and Conclusions

The above results could be summarized according to the four research questions:

- RQ1. What is common across the data - what are the common and most predominant characteristics and behaviours of stellar colleagues?
- RQ2: What is the support they find most useful?
- RQ3: What are the overlaps between supports?
- RQ4: What model of centralised support would be best for stellar colleagues.

Results related to RQ1:" What is common across the data - what are the common and most predominant characteristics and behaviors of stellar colleagues?" might be summarized as follows:
a. Self-perceptions as a successful teacher, writer, learner and researcher are all related to each other, BUT the strongest correlations were revealed between the roles of writer and researcher (Pearson's $\mathrm{r}=.67, \mathrm{p}<.01$ ), teacher and learner (Pearson's $\mathrm{r}=.46, \mathrm{p}<.01$ ).
b. Motivation to writing included: Passion for the discipline (74\%), Desire to share your work ( $64 \%$ ), The wish to advance my career ( $62 \%$ ). Self-identification as a successful writer most strongly relates to "The wish to be recognized in the field ( $\mathrm{r}=.20, \mathrm{p}<.01$ ). Strongest barriers to writing included: Other workload, (55\%) and Lack of time (53\%). Selfidentification as a successful writer reveals the strongest negative relationship with 'Lack of confidence about my writing" ( $\mathrm{r}=-.36, \mathrm{p}<.01$ ) and 'Dislike of writing' ( $\mathrm{r}=-.32, \mathrm{p}<.01$ ).
c. Motivation to research included: Intrinsic motivation (94\%), Desire to learn more (86\%), Desire to progress the field ( $84 \%$ ). Self-identification as a successful researcher was most strongly correlated to "Desire to progress the field" (Pearson $\mathrm{r}=.34, \mathrm{p}<.01$ ).
d. Sixty-one percent of the sample reported received no training before delivering first class and $67 \%$ received no institutional support for teaching during the first year.
e. Regarding learning: "Personal interest in further professional development" was identified as the most relevant to success ( $\mathbf{8 6 \%}$ )
f. The most influential personal traits related to success included: Curiosity (93\%), Ability to Problem Solve (90\%), Optimism/Openness to new experiences/Freedom (89\%). Only two traits correlated to self-perceptions of success across all 4 Areas: 'Imagination' and 'Willingness to travel for work'

Results related to types of support and overlaps between supports (RQ2: What is the support they find most useful? RQ3: What are the overlaps between supports?) might be summarized as follows:
a. Report on existing support revealed it is poorest for Writing (23\%), 32\% for Teaching \& learning and $35 \%$ for professional development, and $38 \%$ for research. Institutions providing one type of support (e.g., Writing support) were more likely to provide other types of support too.
b. The 3 most useful supports for writing were: Access to relevant literature (78\%), Structured Feedback (68\%), Dedicated long blocks/Mentoring/Editor corrections/services (60\%).
c. The 3 most useful supports for research were: Grant funding ( $66.5 \%$ ); Presenting results at international events ( $65 \%$ ); Opportunity to collaborate with others ( $58 \%$ );
d. The 3 most relevant supports for teaching were: Feedback from students (83\%), Student performance/learning ( $76 \%$ ); Sharing pedagogic practice through informal professional conversations (67\%)
e. The 3 most relevant supports for learning were: Conference attendance (73\%); Support in engaging in international projects (74\%); Disciplinary related research support (72\%)
f. 'Collaboration with others' seems to be a common factor cutting across all four areas a. Writing: Structured feedback/Editors; b. Research: Opportunity to collaborate with others; 3. Teaching: Sharing pedagogical practice through informal professional conversations; 4. Learning: Disciplinary related research support (72\%)

Regarding RQ4, 'What model of centralized support would be best for stellar colleagues?' results suggest that models of centralized support across the 4 areas might consider:

1. Dyads that relate more closely, such as teaching-learning or research-writing might be used as a basis to construct models of centralized support
2. Enhancement of collaboration opportunities (local and international) across all four areas teaching, learning, research and writing (professional development through collaboration)
3. Traveling support for research purposes, teaching/writing skills development
4. The stimulation of personal characteristics (Imagination), through specific CPD activities
5. Addressing barriers, such as 'other workload', also by means of institutional policy recommendations
